

8/12/16

**City of Sioux Falls**

**Addendum No. 1**

**Request 16-0021**

**RFP for Adaptive Traffic System**

**Answer to Questions Received 8/12/16**

1. On p.16, Section 2.28, it states that the proposal cover page states the guarantee requirements. However, when looking at the cover page, no guarantee requirements are listed. Guarantee/Bond requirements are only listed in Section A3

**Answer: The City will remove the requirement for a bid bond.**

2. Will the City consider removing guarantee and bonding requirements, as it is not common on adaptive signal control projects (see Section A3)

**Answer: A proposal guarantee is required per section 2.28.**

3. Can the City provide serial numbers for all M50 series traffic controllers? This is necessary in order to determine exact controller specifications.

**Answer: Current M50 controllers on the corridors:**

**26<sup>th</sup> and Minnesota – M50 Black – 8130-0300-035 – S/N 143519**

**33<sup>rd</sup> and Minnesota – M50 Blue – 8130-1200-001 – S/N 115817**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Minnesota – M50 Black – 8130-0300-035 – S/N 141545**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Grange – M50 Blue – 8130-1200-001 – S/N 115815**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Western – M50 Blue – Epac 3808M52 – S/N 109775**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Holly – M50 Blue – Epac 3808M52 – S/N 78950**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Kiwanis – M50 Black – 8130-1200-001 – S/N 125763**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Louise – M50 Black – 8130-1200-001 – S/N 133045**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Shirley – M50 Black – 8130-1200-001 – S/N 120389**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Empire – M50 Black - 8130-1200-001 – S/N 124342**

**41<sup>st</sup> and I-29 NB – M50 Blue – Epac 3808M52 – S/N 99402**

**41<sup>st</sup> and I-29 SB – M50 Blue – Epac 3808M52 – S/N 92401**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Terry – M50 Blue – 8130-1200-001 – S/N 115086**

**41<sup>st</sup> and Marion – M50 Blue – Epac 3808M52 – S/N 116123**

18<sup>th</sup> and Minnesota, 22<sup>nd</sup> and Minnesota, 37<sup>th</sup> and Minnesota, I-229 SB and Minnesota, I-229 NB and Minnesota, 41<sup>st</sup> and Norton, and 41<sup>st</sup> and West are currently M40 controllers.

4. Can the City provide .xls or .doc version of all mandatory and desired requirements tables?

Answer: Table 2 is posted to the City's website: <http://www.siouxfalls.org/business/rfq/2016/07/16-0021>

5. On p.20, Section 3.3 it states that responses to desired requirements shall not exceed 10 pages. However, the list of desired requirements already exceeds 20 pages (see pp57-78). Will the City consider increasing this limit?

Answer: Yes the City is willing to go to 20 pages (front and back).

6. On p.34, Section 3.4.2, it states that the vendor shall provide routine software updates for a period of five (5) years. However, in the mandatory requirements, Requirement 16.0-2, it states that routine updates to software shall be provided of at least two (2) years. Can the City clarify?

Answer: Routine updates to software shall be provided of at least 5 years.

7. On p.34, Section 3.5.2, it states that, "The development of signal timing for all the intersections outlined in this proposal must be included in the cost." By signal timing, does this refer to adaptive signal control system settings? Or actual controller signal timing, like coordinated signal timing plans? Can the City clarify?

Answer: This refers to the adaptive signal control system settings as the City handles all of the traffic signal controller timings and coordinated plan timings for the City's intersection.

8. On p.36, Section 4.1.1, it states that the proposal shall not exceed 50 pages front and back. Does this mean 25 pieces of paper, printed front and back (50 pages total), or 50 pieces of paper, printed front and back (100 pages total)?

Answer: 50 pages front and back – 100 pages total.

9. On P.36, Section, Section 4.1.1, is the page limit inclusive of responses to the system mandatory and desired requirements, or are these excluded from the page limit? Note that the Desired Requirements list starting on p.57, is already 20 pages without any responses.

Answer: The City is okay with an additional 20 (front and back) pages for the mandatory desired requirements. Proposal total shall not exceed 120 pages (front and back)

10. On p.39, Section 4.2.8, it states that the offeror may be asked to provide financial information, including audited financial statements and/or financial references. As most of the offerors are privately held organizations, would the City consider a letter of opinion from the offeror's auditor instead of financial statements and letters of reference?

Answer: A letter of opinion from an auditor is acceptable.

11. On p.40, Section 4.2.13, it states that the offeror is to explain our "approach" to each requirement in Section 3. What is meant by approach?

Answer: The intention of approach is for the Offeror to explain how it meets each requirement of Section 3.

12. On p.40, Section 4.3, it states that the Contractor will be required to pay a contractor's Excise Tax. Can the City provide more information on this excise tax?

Answer: The City of Sioux Falls cannot provide tax advice and encourage you to call the SD Dept. of Revenue at 605-367-5800.

13. On p.40, Section 5.4, can the City state why they will not release weighting of evaluation criteria?

Answer:

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>
<b>OVERALL QUALITY OF CONTENT OF SUBMITTED PROPOSAL INFORMATION 25%</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Overall solution</li><li>○ System functionality</li></ul>
<b>BUSINESS KNOWLEDGE 15%</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Knowledge of Industry Standards and Applications</li></ul>
<b>WORK EXPERIENCE IN REQUIRED SKILL SETS 25%</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Experience in the development of Adaptive Traffic Systems</li><li>○ Project management</li><li>○ Company experience</li><li>○ References</li></ul>
<b>MAINTENANCE/SUPPORT/TRAINING 15%</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Strategy in place to provide on-going support</li><li>○ Training staff available and reasonable location to responsiveness</li><li>○ Acceptable Warranty</li></ul>
<b>COST – SEE SCHEDULE OF PRICES 20%</b>

14. Deployment of the two corridors is staggered. Due to this, when will the warranty/maintenance period begin at the end of the 1<sup>st</sup> corridor installation/approval, or the last?

Answer: The intent would be that the warranty/maintenance period for the 1<sup>st</sup> corridor would start after approval of that installation and the warranty period of the 2<sup>nd</sup> corridor would start after approval of that installation.

15. Can the City provide spare M50 controllers to use by the contractor during the update of any controller software in the field?

Answer: The City typically has about five to ten M50 controllers at a time that would be available to use during updates being made in the field.

16. 13.1.0-2 The ACST shall use the following alternate data sources for operations in the absence of the real-time data from a detector. This requirement seems incomplete. Please elaborate on?

Answer: During the absence of real time data from detectors it is desired for the system to use historic data.

17. Section 3.5.3 calls out for offeror supplied servers. Can it be assumed that the city will supply racks, KVM Switch (Keyboard, Video and Mouse), power conditioning and other ancillary equipment needed to mount, service and maintain the servers?

Answer: It can be assumed that the City will supply racks, KVM Switch, power conditioning, and other ancillary equipment needed to mount, service and maintain the servers. Also the City's IT department has a preference for virtualizing servers.

Sign this form and include with your RFP response.  
(605) 367-8016.

---

Signature

---

Company

---

Date